Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences

Evaluation of Different Soil Carbon Determination Methods

A. Chatterjee [a]; R. Lal [a]; L. Wielopolski [b]; M. Z. Martin [c]; M. H. Ebinger [d]
[a] Carbon Management and Sequestration Center, Ohio State University, Columbus, OH [b] Environmental
Sciences Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY [c] Environmental Sciences Division, Oak
Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN [d] Earth and Environmental Sciences Division, Los Alamos National
Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis
Taylor & Francis Group

Evaluation of Different Soil Carbon Determination Methods

A. Chatterjee,[1] R. Lal,[1] L. Wielopolski,[2] M. Z. Martin,[3] and M. H. Ebinger[4]

[1]*Carbon Management and Sequestration Center, Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210*
[2]*Brookhaven National Laboratory, Environmental Sciences Department, Upton, NY 11973*
[3]*Environmental Sciences Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831*
[4]*Earth and Environmental Sciences Division, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545*

Table of Contents

Determining soil carbon (C) with high precision is an essential requisite for the success of the terrestrial C sequestration program. The informed choice of management practices for different terrestrial ecosystems rests upon accurately measuring the potential for C sequestration. Numerous methods are available for assessing soil C. Chemical analysis of field-collected samples using a dry combustion method is regarded as the standard method. However, conventional sampling of soil and their subsequent chemical analysis is expensive and time consuming. Furthermore, these methods are not sufficiently sensitive to identify small changes over time in response to alterations in management practices or changes in land use. Presently, several different in situ analytic methods are being developed purportedly offering increased accuracy, precision and cost-effectiveness over traditional ex situ methods. We consider that, at this stage, a comparative discussion of different soil C determination methods will improve the understanding needed to develop a standard protocol.

I.   INTRODUCTION

Rapid, accurate, and inexpensive measurement of the soil's carbon pool is essential to detect and quantify change in the ecosystem dynamics of C. A comparative assessment of present determination methods is needed urgently to identify promising techniques that reduce uncertainty in measuring the soil's C pool and flux at the farm and watershed scale. Evaluation of sustainable land-use and soil management practices to stabilize

Address correspondence to A. Chatterjee, Carbon Management and Sequestration Center, Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210. E-mail: forestcarbon@gmail.com

or increase the soil's C pool also demands a sensitive analytical protocol that will pave the way to establish soil C as a tradable commodity in the global market. Accordingly, there is an undeniable need to identify method(s) to determine the rate of change in the soil's C pool over a specific period. Quantifying the site-specific ancillary benefits of soil C sequestration also necessitates establishing standard protocol for evaluating soil's organic C (SOC) pool and flux over multiple scales.

Credible estimates of soil C pool and its fluxes also are required to identify policies and site-specific management practices to increase or at least stabilize the SOC pool. Depending on such practices and land uses, the C pool can play a dominant role as either a net source or net sinks of atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO_2). To a depth of 300 cm the SOC pool comprises 2344 Pg C (1 Pg = 10^{15} g), whereas the inorganic soil C (SIC) content ranges between 695–748 Pg C for the soil profile depth of 100 cm (Jobbagy and Jackson, 2000; Batjes, 1996). The former is mainly composed of (1) soluble organic compounds (sugars and proteins), (2) amorphous organic compounds (humic acid, fat, waxes, lignins and polyuronides), and, (3) organomineral complexes (Schnitzer, 1991). The latter comprises primary and secondary carbonates (Eswaran *et al.*, 1995). Most studies have focused on measuring the SOC pool and relating it to land use and soil management as this is the pool that can be easily affected by changes in agriculture practices and land use management. The SOC content can be determined directly or indirectly from the difference between the total soil C (TSC) and the SIC concentration, measured separately. The SIC can be determined quantitatively by treating a soil sample with HCl and measuring the CO_2 released from carbonates either by gas chromatography or by pressure calcimetry (Sherrod *et al.*, 2002). For soils lacking SIC, TSC value represents the SOC value. However, when the parent material is enriched in carbonaceous mineral, such as limestone and dolomite, the SIC must be measured to determine the sample's SOC.

Besides soil carbonates, soils have organic compounds such as coal and charcoal (Black C) that interfere the determination of SOC. Coal is a major concern in determining the actual potential of reclamation measures in mineland, whereas charcoal can be present in soils affected by fire. Coal-derived C can be quantitatively measured by radiocarbon (^{14}C) activity; however, this method is highly expensive and limited availability of the facilities needed for the analysis (Rumpel *et al.*, 2003). Alternatively, diffuse reflectance infrared Fourier transform (DRIFT) spectroscopy in combination with multivariate statistical analysis can be used to separate coal-derived C and this method produced a good fit with ^{14}C measurement (Rumpel *et al.*, 2001). Recently, Ussiri and Lal (2008) developed a chemi-thermal method to determine coal-derived C; this method is cost-effective and has a high recovery percentage comparable to ^{14}C measurement. There are several methods based on thermal and/or chemical oxidation to quantify charcoal C but the recovery percentages varied widely because charcoal C is a mixture of wide continuum ranging from large pieces of slightly charred biomass (1–100 μm) to submicron soot particles (30–40 nm) (Hedges *et al.*, 2000; Masiello, 2004; Hammes *et al.*, 2007).

Another problem associated with the determination of SOC content is the representation of the data. It is simple to report SOC content as mass of C per unit mass of soil (g kg^{-1}); however, for the calculation of soil C pool, concentration of C is necessarily expressed on an area basis (Mg ha^{-1}) or volume basis (Mg m^{-3}). Calculation of SOC content on an area basis requires data on soil bulk density values, depth increments for soil sampling, and rock and root fragments; and significant uncertainties are associated with the calculation of these parameters (Post *et al.*, 2001). Particularly, uncertainties associated with soil bulk density estimation arises from determining the total soil volume for a range of soils including soils with high gravel content, high organic matter content and high swell-shrink soils (Lal, 2006). Moreover, the volume of rock and coarse fragments (>2 mm) must also be estimated and subtracted from the total soil volume prior to determining the soil bulk density value. These problems have significant influence on the calculation of soil bulk density value and subsequently the SOC content on an area basis.

The common principle underlying SOC evaluation is the ex situ chemical- or high temperature-destruction of the soil organic matter (SOM) from field samples in a laboratory. However, several non-destructive, in situ methods currently being developed promise to increase the accuracy and reduce the time and cost of conventional field soil sampling and laboratory analyses. The objective of our review is to consider fully the information on ex situ and in situ methods of determining the SOC pool, and offer a critical comparative analysis of sensitivity, predictability, and time and cost-efficiency of these novel approaches.

II. EX SITU METHODS

Ex situ methods involve collecting representative soil samples and measuring the C concentration via dry or wet combustion techniques. The latter process involves the oxidation of organic matter by an acid mixture and measuring the evolved CO_2 by gravimetric, titrimetric, or manometric methods. In the 19th century, Rogers and Rogers (1848) reported that dichromate-sulfuric acid solution could oxidize organic substances. After unsuccessful attempts by Warrington and Peake (1880), and Cameron and Breazeale (1904), Ames and Gaither (1914) accomplished the higher recovery of organic substances by the dichromate-sulfuric mixture. Schollenberger (1927) introduced the titrimetric determination of unused chromic acid in the oxidation reaction with ferrous ammonium sulfate using several indicators (diphenylamine, o-phenanthroline, or N-phenylanthranillic acid (Tabatabai, 1996). Walkley and Black (1934) and Tyurin (1935) developed a complete quantification method of SOC by wet oxidation without necessitating external heating. However, Tinsley (1950) and Meibus (1960) proposed applying external heat for an extended period of time to increase the recovery of SOC.

TABLE 1
Features of ex situ soil C determination methods

| Method	Principle	CO_2 determination	Advantages/Disadvantages
I. Wet combustion			
Combustion train	Sample is heated with $K_2Cr_2O_7$-H_2SO_4-H_3PO_4 mixture in a CO_2-free air stream to convert OC in CO_2.	Gravimetric/ Titirimetric	Gravimetric determination requires careful analytical techniques and titrimetric determination is less precise.
Van-Slyke-Neil apparatus	Sample is heated with $K_2Cr_2O_7$-H_2SO_4-H_3PO_4 mixture in a combustion tube attached to the apparatus to convert OC in CO_2.	Manometric	Expensive and easily damaged apparatus.
Walkley-Black	Sample is heated with $K_2Cr_2O_7$-H_2SO_4-H_3PO_4 mixture. Excess dichromate is back titrated with ferrous ammonium sulfate.	Titrimetric	Oxidation factor is needed. Variable SOC recovery. Generate hazardous byproducts such as Cr.
II. Dry combustion			
Weight-loss-on- ignition	Sample is heated to $430°C$ in a muffle furnace during 24 hours.	Gravimetric	Weight losses are due to moisture and volatile organic compounds. Overestimate the organic matter content.
Automated	Sample is mixed with catalysts or accelerator and heated in resistance or induction furnace in O_2 stream to convert all C in CO_2.	Thermal conductivity, gravimetric, IR absorption spectrometry	Rapid, simple, and precise but expensive. Slow release of contaminant CO_2 from alkaline earth carbonates with resistance furnace.

Rather (1917) introduced the technique of estimating SOM from the weight loss of soils on ignition (LOI). He also suggested first destroying the hydrosilicates by treating the samples with hydrochloric and hydrofluoric acids to eliminate the loss of hydroxyl groups during heating, but invariably some SOM is prone to decompose during this treatment. Mitchell (1932) described a low temperature ignition method to remove the soil water by heating the sample at $110°C$ and exposing the dried soil at $350–400°C$ temperature for 8 hours in a furnace. Jackson (1958) recommended using an induction furnace wherein heat is generated from high-frequency electromagnetic radiation. Temperature and duration of heating have substantial effect on the loss of SOM (Schulte *et al.*, 1991). Moreover, the relation between LOI-SOC varies widely with soil depths and types (Konen *et al.*, 2002). These factors need to be considered before determining the SOC by the LOI method.

Tabatabai and Bremner (1970) introduced an automated CO_2 analyzer based on thermal conductivity measurement of the effluent gases. Current automated total C analyzers follow the principles described by Tabatabai and Bremner (1991). Currently this method is considered as the standard method to determine soil C concentration and widely accepted. In the following

sections, principles, advantages, and disadvantages of different ex-situ methods are discussed and summarized in Table 1.

A. Wet Combustion

The analysis of SOC content by wet combustion long has been regarded as standard procedure since Schollenberger (1927) introduced it; most of the time it produces results in agreement with those of the dry combustion technique (Nelson and Sommers, 1996). Wet combustion involves oxidizing SOM to CO_2 with a solution containing potassium dichromate ($K_2Cr_2O_7$), sulfuric acid (H_2SO_4) and phosphoric acid (H_3PO_4), following the reaction

$$2Cr_2O_7^{-2} + 3C^0 + 16H^+ = 4Cr^{3-} + 3CO_2 + 8H_2O \qquad [1]$$

This reaction generates a temperature of $210°C$ and is sufficient to oxidize carbonaceous matter. The excess $Cr_2O_7^{-2}$ (not used in oxidation) is titrated with Fe $(NH_4)_2(SO_4)_2·6H_2O$, and reduced $Cr_2O_7^{-2}$ is assumed to be equivalent to the sample's SOC content. Calculations for SOC content are based on the fact that C present in soil has an average valence of zero.

TABLE 2
Modifications in wet digestion methods for determining SOC (adapted from Nelson and Sommers, 1996)

Method	Reagent concentrations (N)		Ratio of H$_2$O to acid (v:v)	Digestion Conditions	C.V.%
	K$_2$Cr$_2$O$_7$	H$_2$SO$_4$			
Schollenberger (1927)	0.058	18		Tube heated by flame at 175°C for 90 s	1.4–1.9
Tyurin (1931)	0.066	9	1.00	Flask with funnel boiled at 140°C for 5 min	8.5
Walkley-Black (1934)	0.055	12	0.50	Flask with no external heat, max temp is 120°C	1.6–4.2
Tinsley (1950)	0.027	7.2	0.67	Flask with condenser refluxed for 2 h at 150°C	0.8–3.1
Mebius (1960)	0.045	10	0.42	Flask with condenser refluxed for 30 min at 159°C	1.2–1.8
Modified Mebius (1982)	0.033	10.8	0.67	Flask with condenser refluxed at 150°C for 30 min	1.0–3.6
Heans (1984)	0.055	12	0.50	Tube heated in block at 135°C for 30 min	4.1

The wet combustion method has undergone a number of modifications related to the type and concentration of the acids used and whether external heat is applied or not (Table 2). Schollenberger (1927) suggested heating the soil- H$_2$SO$_4$-K$_2$Cr$_2$O$_7$ mixture to complete SOM oxidation and thereby increase the recovery. Others soon realized that the temperature and its duration were critical and must be standardized to ensure the oxidation of a constant proportion of SOM; for that, a consistent amount of dichromate must be thermally decomposed during digestion. Tyurin (1931) incorporated a definite heating time and temperature for soil-chromic acid mixtures in a test tube. However, Walkley and Black (1934) reported satisfactory results with no heating, and suggested using a factor of 1.32 (assuming 76% recovery) to account for the incomplete digestion; however, this percent is all over depending on soil type and soil depth and mineralogy. Table 2 lists the correction factors for various soils. Meibus (1960) proposed boiling the soil-dichromate-sulfuric acid mixture for 30 min in an Erlenmeyer flask connected to a reflux condenser. Subsequently, many researchers tried to modify earlier procedures to enhance the recovery, such as proposed by Meibus (Nelson and Sommers, 1982) and Heans (1984). For dry combustion, Soon and Abboud (1991) reported that the Walkley-Black (WB), modified Tinsley, and modified Meibus methods respectively recovered 71, 95 and 98% of soil C. Thus, we conclude that external heat can improve the SOC recovery, although the WB method is far more popular than the modified methods with external heat. Assuming a recovery of 76% often leads to overestimating or underestimating SOC concentration, depending on the soil's type. The recovery percentage varies from 59% to 88%, and the corresponding correction factor from 1.69 to 1.14 (Table 3). Díaz-Zorita (1999) attributed the low recovery of SOC by the WB method in soil from a graminean pasture system to presence of a high percentage of recalcitrant SOM (e.g., phenolic and lignin compounds). The modified WB method sometimes overestimates the SOC content, while the WB method underestimates it (Brye and Slaton, 2003). Variable recovery percentage of the WB method depends on the soil's type rather than on landuses. Mikhalilova *et al.* (2003) compared four management regimes (native grassland, grazed, continuous

cropping, and continuously plowed fallow) and derived a single correction factor of 1.63 independent of the management regime. De Vos *et al.* (2007) reported a strong correlation between recovery percentage (using the WB method) and the soil's textural class and pedogenetic horizons. Recovery was higher by 3 to 8% from sandy soils than from loam and silt-loam soils. Similarly, recovery from samples from eluvial horizons was significantly higher than those from A horizons, presumably due to higher SOM content in the upper than lower soil horizons.

Interferences by chloride (Cl$^-$), ferrous iron (Fe^{2+}), higher oxides of manganese (Mn^{3+} and Mn^{4+}) and coal particles also entail incorrect estimations of SOC content (Nelson and Sommers, 1996). Particularly, these ions participate in chromic acid-oxidation-reduction reaction, wherein Fe^{2+} and Cl$^-$ lead to a positive error, and MnO$_2$ to a negative error. Large concentrations of Fe^{2+} occur in highly reduced soil and are oxidized to Fe^{3+} by Cr$_2$O$_7^{-2}$, giving high values for SOC content (Eq. 2). This error is more prevalent when the soil sample is not dried before analysis.

$$Cr_2O_7^{-2} + 6Fe^{2+} + 14H^+ = 2Cr^{3+} + 6Fe^{3+} + 7H_2O \quad [2]$$

In case of salt affected soils, Cl$^-$ ion reacts with dichromate producing chromyl chloride that consumes of Cr$_2$O$_7^{-2}$ (Eq. 3).

$$K_2Cr_2O_7 + 4KCl + 6H_2O = CrO_2Cl_2 + 6KHSO_4 + 3H_2O \quad [3]$$

The interference of Cl$^-$ ions can be eliminated by washing the soil with Cl$^-$ free water, precipitating Cl$^-$ by adding Ag$_2$SO$_4$ or by stoichiometric correction (Eq. 4). Heans (1984) concluded that adding Ag$_2$SO$_4$ either before or after K$_2$Cr$_2$O$_7$ failed to control Cl$^-$ interference, and suggested separate assay and stoichiometric correction as the only permissible alternative for assessing SOC by the WB method.

$$Corrected - SOC(\%) = (Estimated\ SOC\%) - (\%Cl^-/12) \quad [4]$$

The higher oxides of Mn (mainly MnO$_2$) often produce a negative error by competing with oxidizable substances in

TABLE 3
Correction factors for soil organic C not recovered by the Walkley-Black (Walkley and Black, 1934) method

Sampling location	Recovery%	Average Correction Correction factor	Reference
Forest soils, Belgium	63	1.58	De Vos *et al.* (2007)
Calcareous soil, Italy	77	1.30	Santi *et al.* (2006)
Sierra Leone	83	1.20	Kamara *et al.* (2007)
Native Prairie, Arkansas,USA	66	1.51	Bryre and Slaton (2003)
Agricultural soil, Arkansas,USA	63	1.59	
Russian Chernozem	61	1.63	Mikhailova *et al.* (2003)
Graminean pasture, Argentina	59	1.69	Díaz-Zorita, (1999)
Mineral soils, New Zealand	80	1.25	Grewal *et al.* (1991)
Canadian Prairie	71	1.40	Soon and Abboud (1991)
Australia	88	1.14	Lowther *et al.* (1990)

soil-chromic acid mixtures (Eq. 5). This interference produces an error of small magnitude in calculations because even in highly manganiferous soils, a minute quantity of MnO_2 competes with $Cr_2O_7^{-2}$ for the oxidation of SOC (Nelson and Sommers, 1996) (Eq. 5).

$$2MnO_2 + C^0 + 8H^+ = CO_2 + Mn^{2+} + 4H_2O \qquad [5]$$

The presence of carbonized materials (e.g., charcoal, coal, coke and soot) also is responsible for poor recovery in the wet digestion process. Without applying any external heat, the percentage recovery of SOC present in carbonized materials is low; and with external heat, the recovery is variable depending on the properties of the carbonized materials (Heans, 1984; Skjemstad and Taylor, 1999; and De Vos *et al.*, 2007). Thus, Walkley (1947) reported that the WB method recovered only 2–11% of SOC present in carbonized materials. Microscopic inspection of the digested material revealed charred materials in the -remaining organic fragments. Wet digestion methodologies cannot be employed to recover carbonized materials or to separate the SOC fractions from carbonized materials because their oxidation depends on the time and temperature of heating the chromic acid mixture and the carbonized material's properties, such as bonding with organomineral complexes, and the groups present, etc. Also, there is an environmental problem associated with using and disposing of the compounds containing chromium. Many laboratories avoid the use of the chromium-based compounds.

Using colorimetric analyses rather than titrations can increase the precision of the wet combustion method (Soon and Abboud, 1991). There are two approaches to colorimetric determination: (i) determining the unreacted dichromate solution that changes color from orange to green, and (ii) measuring the absorbance of the color complex (violet) produced from the reaction of Cr^{3+} with s-diphenylcarbazide at 450 nm (Tabatabai, 1996). The Cr^{3+}ion has two broad maxima in the visible range, one near 450 nm and the other near 600 nm. The dichromate ion also has an absorption maximum near

450 nm, but not near 600 nm, and hence, it is advisable to determine the absorbance at 600 nm. Soon and Abboud (1991) measured the absorbance of clear supernatant 10-ml aliquot of soil-chromic acid mixture at 600 nm against a set of standard sucrose solutions and achieved 100% recovery by comparison with dry combustion as the reference method. Using automatic titration or digital burettes, coupled with the wet digestion process, also may improve accuracy. Nevertheless, even though the wet digestion method has limitations due to variable recovery percentage, still it is used worldwide throughout the world to measure SOC concentration because of its low cost and minimum requirements.

B. Dry Combustion

Incinerating SOM and thermal decomposing carbonate minerals generate CO_2 that is measured by (1) dry combustion followed by measuring the changes or mass loss-on-ignition (LOI), and, (2) dry oxidation of SOC, then collecting and determining the evolved CO_2 with automated instruments (Table 1). Both methods involve oxidizing the SOC at a high temperature. The LOI method entails heating the sample in a muffle furnace between 200–500°C, whereas dry oxidation via automated analyzer is accomplished between 950–1150°C.

1. Loss on Ignition (LOI)

In this method, the SOM is assessed by measuring the weight loss from a dry soil sample (oven-dried at 105°C) after high-temperature ignition of the carbonaceous compounds in a muffle furnace. Three assumptions underlie this method: (a) LOI is due only to the combustion of SOM, and, (ii) the C content of SOM is constant (Christensen and Malmros, 1982). The concentration of SOC can be computed from the LOI-SOC relationship, where SOC is determined by an autoanalyzer or by the multiplication factor of 0.58, assuming that SOM comprises 58% of the SOC. However, this conversion factor (0.58) varies with soil's type, the sampling depth, and types of organic compounds in

TABLE 4
Relationship between soil organic C (determined by wet oxidation and dry combustion) and weight loss-on-ignition (LOI)

| Soil type | Temperature (°C) | Duration (h) | SOC = m*LOI + c | | | Reference |
			m	c	(r^2)	
Forest soils, USA	300	2	0.4315	0.1603	0.69	Abella and Zimmer (2007)
Sierra Leone	375	2	−6.55	0.64	0.93	Kamara *et al.* (2007)
	550	3	0.5783	−1.2875	0.96	De Vos *et al.* (2005)
Nebraska sand hills, USA	360	2	1.414	−0.6791	0.94	Konen *et al.* (2002)
Central loess plains, USA	360	2	0.6717	−4.5359	0.94	Konen *et al.* (2002)
Southern Wisconsin & Minnesota till prairies, USA	360	2	0.5743	0.1025	0.98	Konen *et al.* (2002)
Central Iowa and Minnesota till prairies, USA	360	2	0.6824	−2.8696	0.97	Konen *et al.* (2002)
Illinois and Iowa deep loess and drift, USA	360	2	0.6094	0.1949	0.98	Konen *et al.* (2002)
Tasmanian acidic soils						
Non-basalt derived	375	17	0.726	−1.598	0.96	Wang *et al.* (1996)
Basalt derived	375	17	0.469	−0.941	0.95	Wang *et al.* (1996)
Canadian Prairie	375	16	−9.36	0.633	097	Soon and Abboud (1991)
	450	6	0.568	0	0.98	Donkin (1991)
	450	16	0.914	0	0.99	Lowther *et al.* (1990)
Various soils of U.K.	550	3	0.840	−1.68	0.98	Howard & Howard (1990)
	400	8	0.972	−0.37	0.97	Ben-Dor & Banin (1989)
	450	12	1.04	−0.03	0.92	David (1988)

the SOC. The LOI does not generally represent SOM because LOI can decompose inorganic constituents without igniting the entire SOM pool. Temperature and the duration of ignition are critical to prevent the loss of CO_2 from carbonates and the structural water from clay minerals and amorphous materials (volcanic soils), the oxidation of Fe^{2+}, and the decomposition of hydrated salts (Schulte and Hopkins, 1996 and Ben-Dor and Banin, 1989).

While some hygroscopic water is removed from the soil during ignition at 105°C, sometimes the dehydration of the sample is incomplete; thus the SOM value may be overestimated. Also, different salts present in soil release molecular water at different temperatures above 105°C. For example, gypsum ($CaSO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$) contains up to 21% water and loses 1.5 H_2O molecules at 128°C, and the remaining H_2O at 163°C. Epsom salts ($MgSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$) loses six H_2O molecules at 150°C and the remaining one at 200°C. Four H_2O molecules are lost from $CaCl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ at 30°C, and the remaining two molecules at 200°C (Schulte and Hopkins, 1996 and Lide, 1993). Dehydroxylation of silicates starts between 350 to 370°C, whereas Na-montmorillonite, vermiculite, gibbsite, goethite, and brucite lose crystal-lattice water between 150 to 250°C (Barshad, 1965). Schulte and Hopkins (1996) reported that gypsum is dehydrated fully at 150°C; they recommended using this temperature for soils dominated by hydrated clays. Volcanic soils have large amounts of water that can affect the results.

It is difficult to predict an optimum temperature and duration of ignition to ensure the maximum SOM recovery and avoid loss by the dehydration of clays or decomposition of other soil constituents. Abella and Zimmer (2007) reported that at 300°C, 85–89% of LOI occurred during the first 30 min and 98-99% during the first 90 min. In contrast, Ben-Dor and Banin (1989) suggested that 400°C for 8 hr is suitable, basing their suggestion on using fast and prolonged heating working with natural and synthetic soils of Israel. Donkin (1991) observed that optimum temperature for ignition is 450°C for 6 hr, and concluded that higher temperature does not provide any advantage in terms of recovery percentage. Schulte *et al.* (1991) recommended that after reaching 360°C, exposure for two hours is suitable for routine soil sample analyses.

Despite these limitations, the LOI and SOC content of soil are correlated strongly, as calculated from organic C data (Table 4). However, the slopes (m) and intercepts (c) are highly variable depending on the ignition temperature and duration, soil types, and the compounds that comprise the SOC. The data in Table 4 show that values of the slope of the regression equation <1 indicate a loss of soil constituents other than SOM during ignition, whereas those >1 represent the incomplete recovery of SOM. Konen *et al.* (2002) concluded that predictive equations developed by LOI-SOC relationship were significantly different for different Major Land Resource Areas (MLRAs) in the central United States. For all their soil samples, ignition was

accomplished at 360°C (Table 4). Different recovery of SOM during ignition was controlled by the heating time and duration and by soil texture (particularly clay %) and type. De Vos *et al.* (2005) observed that the intercept in LOI-SOC regression equation is determined significantly by the samples' clay content. Spain *et al.* (1982) reported that a 9% improvement in the predictive capability of the equation using a bivariate function of LOI and clay.

Sample size is another source of variation in LOI measurements. Schulte *et al.* (1991) reported that LOI value significantly decreased with increase in sample weight. Diffusion of oxygen within the sample inhibits oxidation in large samples, a feature that is critical for organic soils, e.g., peat and muck soil.

While the LOI is a simple, rapid, and inexpensive technique of determining SOC content, the LOI-SOC regression equation must be determined for particular soil type and depth. Inclusion of the clay percentage in the bivariate regression equation can increase the correlation between LOI and SOC content. Finally, consistency should be assured for ignition temperatures, exposure times, and the samples' size and information on these three parameters included at the time of publishing the research data (Konen *et al.*, 2002; Heiri *et al.*, 2001).

2. Automated Carbon Analyzer

Use of the automated analyzer for determining of total C has evolved to become the standard method. Following are the major steps in detecting C in an automated CN analyzer: (i) Automatic introduction of the sample into a high-temperature oxidation zone wherein soil C is converted to CO_2; (ii) carriage of CO_2 by a carrier gas (generally helium) and separated from other gasses (N_2, NO_X, H_2O vapor, SO_2) either by a gas chromatographic system, or a series of selective traps for the individual gases; and, (iii) detection of the concentration of CO_2 mainly by thermal conductivity, mass spectrometry or infrared gas analyzing methods (Smith and Tabatabai, 2004). Method of CO_2 detection varies with instruments' manufacturers and models. Table 5 is a short list of automated analyzers, the detection principles of detection, manufacturers and contact information. An automated analyzer is calibrated with glutamic acid and generally samples are replicated to ensure the quality of the run. The main advantages of automated analyzer are the following: (i) rapid and precise, (ii) no loss of soil C during combustion, (iii) potential for simultaneously measuring nitrogen and sulfur (depending on model), and, (iv) can be connected to mass spectrometer for stable isotope analysis.

Special care must be taken in homogenizing the soils and ensuring its fineness. In most cases, 100–200 mg of soil sample is used for auto analyzer analyses. Pérez *et al.* (2001) suggested that simple crushing was not sufficient to guarantee homogeneity of small soil samples, and precision generally is better for finely ground samples ($<177\ \mu$m). They also concluded that 100 mg of soil sample is adequate to obtain the best results from an auto analyzer. In contrast, Jimnez and Ladha (1993) recommended soil samples of 60 mg with fineness of 150 μm; this can

be achieved by roller grinding or ball milling the sample after passing it through a 2-mm sieve.

The sample size must be large enough to create detectable signals and generate representative data within the limits of its combustibility. However, sample size can not indefinitely be increased because of incomplete oxidation under a low O_2 supply and the physical limitations of the sample's container. Most automated analyzers (like Elementar Vario Macro) offer options to increase O_2 dosing and combustion time. In particular, samples of organic soil in particular must be analyzed under a sufficient O_2 supply or with a sample of lesser weight, although the latter may contribute to uncertainty in the sample's representativeness. Extremely small soil samples with low SOC content also generated very low detector signal-to-noise ratio, and hence, poor accuracy and precision (Jimnez and Ladha, 1993).

Complete combustion of the sample also depends on the temperature within the combustion furnace, generally held between 950 and 1200°C. For some models, soil samples are encapsulated in a tin foil that raises the combustion temperature to about 1800°C. Wright and Bailey (2001) compared two combustion temperature profiles, 1040 and 1300°C, concluding that 1300°C is essential for accurately measuring total soil C. They observed that under lower combustion conditions (1040°C), carbonate decomposition from samples of pure $CaCO_3$ is minimized to 5%, whereas it is maximized to 98% at higher temperatures (1300°C).

Dry combustion with auto analyzers have higher precision than wet combustion or LOI, but also costs more due to the expense of buying the analyzer (US \$40,000 to over \$50,000) and the associated components such as an ultra-microbalance, computer, and printer. The operating costs of auto analyzers also are slightly higher due to the required consumables and high purity gasses (He and O_2). The instrument consumes a significant amount of electricity in heating the furnace. Jimnez and Ladha (1993) estimated that the cost per sample for analyzing TSC using the Perkin-Elmer 2400 CHN analyzer ranges between \$3.8 and \$6.50 for running 100 samples and 10 samples, respectively, in a single operation. Analyzing few samples increases the cost of analysis because of the extended time required for their stabilization and calibration and the increase in the quantity of standard runs for each operation. Running a large batch of samples can reduce cost of analysis by economies of scale.

Comparing different ex situ methods to determine soil C reveals that high precision and low analysis cost cannot be achieved using the same method. Thus, automated dry combustion analysis provides high precision, whereas the LOI method involves low cost. The expense of assessing soil C can be lowered provided the relationship between LOI and automated dry combustion is established for a particular soil type. However, it is rare to find a strong linear relationship between the two (Abella and Zimmer, 2007). Further, wet digestion, the Walkley and Black method (REF), carries a wide variation in recovery percentages, and also does not demonstrate a strong correlation

TABLE 5
Current automated dry combustion CN analyzers, description, and operating principles

Manufacturer	Address/website	Model (s)	Operating principle/detection system
Costech Analytical Technologies	26074 Avenue Hall, Suite 14, Valencia, California-91355, USA www.costechanalytical.com	ECS 4010 CHNSO	The sample within tin capsule reacts with oxygen and combust at temperatures of 1700–1800°C. Combustion of sample generates mixture of N_2, CO_2, H_2O and SO_2. The gases are separated by gas chromatographic (GC) separation column and are detected sequentially by the TCD (thermal conductivity detector). The TCD generates a signal, which is proportional to the amount of element in the sample.
LECO Corp.	3000 Lakeview Avenue, St. Joseph, Michigan 49085–2396, USA www.leco.com	TruSpec series	Sample encapsulated in tin foil is combusted at 950°C and detection by infrared.
PerkinElmer Life and Analytical Sciences	710 Bridgeport Avenue, Shelton, Connecticut-06484–4794, USA www.perkinelmer.com	2400 Series II CHNS/O Elemental Analyzer	Based on the Pregl-Dumas method. Samples are combusted with user flexible mode and gases are separated by frontal chromatography and eluted gases are measured using TCD.
Elementar Analysensysteme GmbH	Donaustrasse 7 D-63452 Hanau, Germany www.elementar.de	vario Macro, vario Max, vario EL III	Samples are dropped into the combustion tube at user selected temperature up to 1200°C. The use of tin vessels further elevates the temperature up to 1800°C. Complete combustion is ensured with O2 jet injection. Except for N_2, other gases are retarded into specific adsorption trap. After TCD signal for N2 is received, adsorption traps are thermally desorbed and the corresponding gases detected with TCD sequentially.
Thermo Scientific (part of Thermo Fisher Scientific Corporation)	81 Wyman Street, Waltman, MA 02454, USA www.thermo.com	Flash EA 1112 NC	Detection by TCD.

with the automated dry combustion technique (De Vos *et al.* 2007) and chemical disposal is an environmental problem. In general, we conclude that automated dry combustion is the only reliable, comprehensive method to determine soil C concentration with the added benefit of also measuring N and S at the same time. With a limited budget, LOI method might be used rather than the automated technique, but the correlation factor in between them should be reported with the results.

Although soil sampling in the field and automated dry combustion is considered as the standard method, the whole process is expensive, time consuming and labor intensive. The automated analysis of prepared soil samples alone costs around $12 per sample. Moreover, without intensive soil sampling, it is hard to detect changes in soil C over large landscapes due to spatial heterogeneity (Freibauer *et al.*, 2004). All laboratory analyses use a small quantity of homogenized samples, generally between 0.1 to 1 g. These major limitations with ex situ methods instigated the development of alternative methods, particularly in situ ones, to achieve higher precision, faster analyses, and lower costs and than the present ex situ determination methods.

TABLE 6
Features of in situ soil C determination techniques

Method	Principle	Penetration in soil (cm)	Sampled volume (cm^3)	Features
Mid- and near-infrared reflectance spectroscopy (MIRS/NIRS)	NIRS (400–2500 nm) and MIR (2500–25000 nm) region utilized to quantify soil C. Based on the absorption of C-H, N-H and O-H groups found in organic constituents	0.2–1	~10	Invasive, MIR region needed KBr dilution because of strong absorptions. Strength of these absorptions may result into spectral distortions and nonlinearities.
Laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy (LIBS)	Laser is focused on sample forming microplasma that emits light characteristic of the sample elemental composition	0.1	$\sim 10^{-2}$	Able to provide data at 1 mm resolution, invasive, roots and rock fragments presence may cause C signal variability.
Inelastic neutron scattering (INS)	Based on inelastic scattering of fast, 14 MeV, neutrons from C nuclei and subsequent detection of gamma rays emitted from first C excited level	30	$\sim 10^5$	Nondestructive, multi-elemental, scanning modality, analytic response function

III. IN SITU METHODS

New in situ soil C methods promise high precision without as much sample processing time and their subsequent analysis. In situ methods mainly are based on remote sensing and spectroscopic measurements in the field (Table 6). Spectroscopic methods include infra-red reflectance near-infra-red (NIR) and mid-infra-red, laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy (LIBS) and inelastic neutron scattering (INS). Potential of these methods are being calibrated with reference to soil sampling and subsequent analysis with automated dry combustion method.

A. Infrared Reflectance Spectroscopy

Infrared reflectance spectroscopy is a rapid technique for measuring soil C based on the diffusely reflected radiation of illuminated soil (McCarty *et al.*, 2002). Within diffuse reflectance spectroscopy, both the near infrared region (NIR, 400–2500 nm), and the mid infrared (MIR, 2500–25000 nm) region have been evaluated for quantifying soil C (Morón and Cozzolino, 2002; McCarty *et al.*, 2002; Russell, 2003). NIR uses a quantitative determination of components of complex organic compounds, whereas MIR spectroscopy involves the spectral interpretation of chemical structures. McCarty *et al.* (2002) reported that organic and inorganic C pools can be measured simultaneously by spectral analysis; they observed that useful calibrations for soil C can be developed using MIR, and to a lesser extent, NIR analysis. NIR is based on the absorption of the C-H, N-H, and O-H groups found in organic compounds. These absorptions are overtones and combination bands of the much stronger ab-

sorption band seen in MIR spectra (Murray 1993; Batten, 1998; Deaville and Flinn, 2000; Reeves, 2000). Multiple regression statistics (Partial Least Square and Principal Component Analysis) relate the NIR data at selected wavelengths to reference values for calibration (Deaville and Flinn, 2000; Cozzolino and Morón, 2006). The major limitation of NIR is the continual need for calibration and quality control. Due to differences in particle size and soil mineral absorption intensities, NIR absorption by soil is not linearly related to the individual soil matrix components (Russell, 2003). The NIR has excellent performance ($R^2 = 0.961$ to 0.975) when applied to a calibration set of samples of a similar particle size distribution. However, predictability is low in samples with heterogeneous particle size and high variability in moisture content (Madari *et al.*, 2005). Veris Technologies (Salina, Kansas) developed a mobile in situ NIR device and field validation results predicted SOM with an R^2 value of 0.67 between the laboratory and NIR prediction (Christy, 2008). Accuracy of prediction will increase with the increase in area. However, NIR simultaneously measures quantitatively and qualitatively certain soil parameters (like forms of C), in addition to C content. Commercial field portable NIR instruments are commercially available and cost around $20,000 (Oceanoptics Inc., Florida), and widen the use of NIR for in situ measurement of soil C.

B. Laser-Induced Breakdown Spectroscopy (LIBS)

Laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy (LIBS) is based on atomic emission; the soil's C content is determined by

FIG. 1.   Schematic presentation of the LIBS system, collection of microplasma, detection, and the spectral resolution of a sample (adapted from Cremers *et al.*, 2001).

analyzing the unique spectral signature of C (at 247.8 or 193 nm, or both). A laser beam at a specific wavelength, e.g., 1064 nm, is focused on each sample with a lens of 50 mm focal length to form microplasma that emits light that is characteristic of the sample's elemental composition (Ebinger *et al.*, 2006) (Fig. 1). The emitted light is spectrally resolved using a grated-intensified photodiode array detector. Intact soil cores or discrete, pressed samples are used for analysis; spectra are collected along a soil core or from each discrete sample. The spatial variability of C in soil profiles is accounted for by the ability to analyze and average multiple spots. Cremers *et al.* (2001) compared the data from LIBS measurements with those from dry combustion and observed a high correlation of 0.96 for soils of similar morphology. They also reported that LIBS quickly determines C (in less than a minute) with excellent instrumental detection limit of $\sim$300 mg kg^{-1} and a precision of 4–5%. The greatest advantage of LIBS is its capability for remote surface chemical analysis of samples although the utility of this feature for soil C analysis remains to be demonstrated. The rapid determination of soil C and the portability of LIBS systems afford the potential to collect and analyze thousands of measurements to characterize soil C content, its distribution and heterogeneity over a large area; nevertheless, these undoubted advantages need to be balanced against the very small volumes analyzed. In addition to soil C, LIBS measures most of the major elements (nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium) present in soil and can be widely applicable to enumerate the soil fertility status or solving soil health related problems like heavy metal contamination.

Soil properties (e.g., texture, carbonate and moisture content) influence LIBS analyses; thus, numerous calibration curves based on soil texture were required. However, this practice is unacceptable for a field deployable instrument. There is urgency in developing a "universal calibration curve," an essential tool for soil C measurements. The new approach of using multivariate analysis for quantifying soil C builds upon and extends the preliminary observations of Cremers *et al.*, 2001, and Martin *et al.*, 2002. Multivariate statistical analysis (MVA) helps control the variability in C concentrations due to the influence of the soil's matrix, which accounts for the textural dependence of calibrations. Acid washing of soil samples to remove calcium carbonate reduces the standard deviation by almost 8% after normalizing the C signal to the silicon (Si) signal. The reproducibility of LIBS analyses can be improved by (i) increasing the number of shots and averaging the spectra over more shots, (ii) applying the method of intensity ratios of C with either Si or Al and, (iii) using the MVA techniques (Martin *et al.*, 2003). Commercialization of a portable LIBS system has reduced its unit cost and might increase its employment for high-resolution soil C analyses. Cost of a LIBS unit that can detect soil C with high detection limit as well as collect other spectral features for multivariate analysis costs $100,000. Considering the number of samples that can be analyzed and labor cost associated with sample processing, LIBS will be more profitable over the time compared to automatic dry combustion technique. Future research is needed to reduce the variability in the LIBS signal caused by the presence of rock fragments, roots, and other

FIG. 2.   Different major sections of an Inelastic Neutron Scattering (INS) alpha prototype.

materials. A collaborative soil C detection and quantification effort using LIBS was initiated between Oak Ridge National Laoratory and Los Almos National Laboratory.

C.   Inelastic Neutron Scattering

The new inelastic neutron scattering (INS) system for soil C analysis is based on spectroscopy of gamma rays resulting from fast neutrons interacting with the nuclei of the elements in soil. A neutron generator, which is turned off when not in operation, generates fast neutrons that penetrate the soil and stimulate gamma rays that subsequently are detected by an array of NaI detectors. The peak areas in the measured spectra are proportional to the soil's elemental content. The key elements measured presently are C, Si, O, N, H, Al, and K. Since the INS is based on nuclear processes that are very fast, it is insensitive to the chemical configuration of the element and can be used in a scanning mode. Figure 2 shows the main components of the self-contained INS alpha prototype field unit mounted on a cart. Having placed the INS system in position, it hovers about 30 cm above the ground; data acquisition typically is set for an interval between 30 to 60 min. The INS subsequently analyzes the acquired spectra for spectral peak intensities (counts), and, using an established calibration line, reports the results instantly in units of kg C m^{-2} (Wielopolski *et al.*, 2008).

The INS system, which by and large sees a constant volume, is linear from zero up to very high levels of soil C. It has multi-elemental capabilities, for example, the H peak was calibrated against soil moisture. The following are INS's unique and invaluable characteristics: (a) Interrogation of large volumes containing over 200 kg of soil; (b) a large footprint of about 2

m^2; and, sampling the soil to a depth of about 30 cm. Since the INS response is governed by the exponential attenuation functions, Beer's law, of the neutrons penetrating into the soil and the gamma rays emanating from it, these values are not strictly defined but rather effective, or on average. Implicitly, the linear regression of the INS calibration line embeds them. Calibrating the INS system with synthetic soils in which sand was mixed with a known amount of carbon yielded an r^2 value of 0.99 (Wielopolski *et al.*, 2004). The system was also calibrated in grassland, pine forest, and hardwood forest in the Blackwood Division of the Duke Forest near Durham with an r^2 value of 0.97. The latter calibration in terns of g C cm^{-2} was accomplished using chemical analysis by dry combustion of samples taken after homogenizing an excavation pit of $40 \times 40 \times 40$ cm^3 (Wielopolski *et al.*, 2008). The INS system set up seeing a constant volume does not require the knowledge of the exact volume. To the first approximation INS is looking into a constant volume of about 0.4 m^3 in the soil in which the C signal is proportional to the number of C atoms in that volume. Small variations in the soil bulk density have negligible effect on the interrogated volume. The INS system is directly calibrated in g C cm^{-2} representing the total carbon in the column below a unit area regardless of the BD that varies with depth. Once the system is calibrated we know exactly the amount of C to be the same as determined by the conventional sampling and dry combustion analysis. Thus the C credit payment is proportional to the change.

The presence of coarse fragments reduces the amounts of soil in that volume thus reducing the C signals. When corrected for the solid fraction the data points coincided with the calibration line. INS being a nuclear method is insensitive to chemical configuration of the C. However, by measuring additional

TABLE 7

Comparison of soil C determination methods using automated dry combustion, LIBS and INS techniques

Automated dry combustion	LIBS	INS
Sampling and processing		
Destructive soil sampling and processed to finely homogeneous powder	Destructive soil sampling using cores, no processing needed	Nondestructive
Analysis time		
Sampling to final result needs at least week	Few minutes	One hour
Foot print		
Core diameter (2–3.5 cm)	Laser beam diameter (200 μm)	~ 1.5 m^2
Analysis		
In most cases, thermal conductivity of CO_2 (evolved from combustion of soil) converted to percent C using homogenized sample weight	Spectra normalized to the total detected emission and calibration by standard samples to determine total C	Spectra normalized to a monitored neutron generator output. Trapezoidal peak net areas converted instantaneously to elemental C.
Future developments		
None	Improved sensitivity	Improved sensitivity, measuring depth profile
Cost of unit		
$40,000–60,000	$100,000	$150,000

elements; for example calcium and magnesium, it might be possible to partition SIC and SOC. The system is not commercial at this point; however, a system cost is estimated at about $150,000 and no consumable costs are involved. The INS system is an electrical device producing radiation; as such it has to satisfy radiation regulatory requirements. However, it does not carry any radioactive sources and at the end of data acquisition is turned off. The device is well collimated and shielded without introducing any environmental hazard.

D. Remote Sensing

Since 1960, remote sensing has been explored as an alternative nondestructive method for SOC determination, at least of surface soils (Merry and Levine, 1995). Reflectance of various spectral bands was correlated with soil properties, including SOM content (Chen *et al.*, 2000; 2007). Spectral sensors were developed and examined to measure SOM (Pitts *et al.*, 1983; Griffis, 1985; Smith *et al.*, 1987; Shonk *et al.*, 1991). Sensors usually operate with wavelengths between 0.3 μm and 1 m and are divided into the following four groups: (1) visible (0.4–0.7 μm), (2) reflective infrared (0.7–3 μm), (3) thermal infrared (8–14 μm), and (4) microwave (1 mm–1m). A wavelength between 0.4 μm to 2.5 μm, is suitable for soil with >2% SOM content (Baumgardner *et al.*, 1970). Research shows that predictions can be made of the SOM content from light reflectance with a linear or curvilinear relationship in the visual and infrared range (Baumgardner *et al.*, 1970; Smith *et al.*, 1987; Sudduth and Hummel, 1988; Henderson *et al.*, 1992). Ben-Dor

and Banin (1995) successfully correlated statistical data and Landsat TM imaging analysis with the sand, clay, and SOM content of different soils in Madison County, Alabama. Chen *et al.* (2000) proposed a process of mapping SOC with remotely sensed imagery (bare field) that includes image filtering, regression analysis, classification and reclassification. With this method, they obtained a high correlation (0.97–0.98) between predicted and measured values at field scale level (of area 115 ha) in coastal plain region of Georgia. Mapping of SOC with remote sensing has proven to be both accurate and economic; however this method requires separate sampling and mapping for each crop field. Chen *et al.* (2007) proposed to group field based on image similarity and mapping them together as one group to reduce sampling costs.

Although there is a strong relationship between remotely sensed spectral data and SOC content, prediction at different spatial scales has not been achieved. Moreover, to draw inferences of SOC content from satellite imagery on a large scale necessitates having surrogate indices such as vegetation type and species or soil moisture (Merry and Levine, 1995). Beside these shortcomings, remote sensing with its high resolution monitoring abilities is applicable for predicting SOC distribution, which is not feasible by any other means.

All methods have pros and cons and they should be matched to specific measurement needs and applications before they are selected or rejected. The choice of an instrument or measurement techniques will depend upon the researchers' need and resources, such as the project objective and funding allotted for the project.

IV.   SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Considering both ex situ and in situ measurements, we suggest that three methods, automated dry combustion, LIBS and INS, have higher precision and detection limit over others. Table 7 compares analytical advantages and disadvantages of these three methods. Although LIBS and INS system have major advantages over ex situ, automated dry combustion method, but still need to consider following factors: (i) separation of SIC concentration from total soil C concentration; (ii) consideration of soil bulk density, and root and rock fragments containing C; (iii) reducing complexity associated with operation and calculation; and (iv) planning of measurement protocols for different soil types and landscape situations. In general, there are major constraints to determine SOC content on an area basis mainly due to spatial variability in SOC distribution and uncertainties associated with soil bulk density estimation. To improve the accuracy in prediction of SOC content over a landscape, it is foremost need to develop a sound soil sampling method to counteract the question of spatial variability and least cost effective and routine methods of measuring/predicting soil bulk density. In situ methods, particularly LIBS and INS have potential to solve these problems, particularly uncertainties associated with spatial variability. Until these advanced techniques are calibrated, methods for determining SOC will follow the legacy of standard field soil core sampling and automated dry combustion analysis.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

REFERENCES

Abella, S. R., and Zimmer, B. W. 2007. Estimating organic carbon from loss-on-ignition in northern Arizona forest soils. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* **71**: 545–550.

Ames, W. J., and Gaither, E. W. 1914. Determination of carbon in soils and soil extracts. *J. Ind. Eng. Chem.* **6**: 561.

Barshad, I. 1965. Thermal analysis techniques for mineral identification and mineralogical composition. **In**: *Methods of Soil Analysis. Part I. Agron. Monograph 9*, pp. 699–742. Black, C. A., Ed., ASA, CSSA, and SSSA, Madison, WI.

Batjes, N. H. 1996. Total carbon and nitrogen in the soils of the world. *Eur. J. Soil. Sci.* **47** (2): 151–163.

Batten, G. D. 1998. Plant analysis using near-infrared reflectance spectroscopy: the potential and the limitations. *Aust. J. Exp. Agric.* **38**: 697–706.

Baumgardner, M. F., Kristof, S., Johannsen, C. J., and Zachary, A. 1970. Effects of organic matter on the multispectral properties of soils. *Proc. Indiana Acad. Sci.* **79**: 413–422.

Ben-Dor, E., and Banin, A. 1989. Determination of organic matter content in arid-zone soils using a simple "loss-on-ignition" method. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.* **20**: 1675–1695.

Ben-Dor, E., and Banin, A. 1995. Near-infrared analysis as a rapid method to simultaneously evaluate several soil properties. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* **56**: 364–372.

Brye, K. R., and Slaton, N. A. 2003. Carbon and nitrogen storage in a typic Albaqualf as affected by assessment method. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.* **34**: 1637–1655.

Cameron, F. K., and Breazeale, J. F. 1904. The organic matter in soils and subsoils. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **26**: 29–45.

Chen, F., Kissel, D. E., West, L. T., and Adkins, W. 2000. Field-scale mapping of surface soil organic carbon using remotely sensed imagery. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* **64**: 746–753.

Chen, F., Kissel, D. E., West, L. T., Adkins, W., Rickman, D., and Luvall, J. C. 2007. Mapping soil organic carbon concentration for multiple fields with image similarity analysis. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* **72**: 186–193.

Christensen, B. T., and Malmros, P. A. 1982. Loss-on-ignition and carbon content in a beech forest soil profile. *Holartic Ecol.* **5**: 376–380.

Christy, C. D. 2008. Real-time measurement of soil attributes using on-the-go near infrared reflectance spectroscopy. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture* **61**: 10–19.

Cozzolono, D., and Morón, A. 2006. Potential of near-infrared reflectance spectroscopy and chemometrics to predict soil organic carbon fractions. *Soil Tillage Res.* **85**: 78–85.

Cremers, D. A., Ebinger, M. H., Breshears, D. D., Unkefer, P. J., Kammerdiener, S. A., Ferris, M. J., Catlett, K. M., and Brown, J. R. 2001. Measuring total soil carbon with Laser induced breakdown spectroscopy (LIBS). *J. Environ. Qual.* **30**: 2202–2206.

David, M. B. 1988. Use of loss-on-ignition to assess soil organic carbon in forest soils. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.* **19**: 1593–1599.

De Vos, B., Letterns, S., Muys, B., and Deckers, J. A. 2007. Walkley-Black analysis of forest soil organic carbon: recovery, limitations and uncertainty. *Soil Use Manage.* **23**: 221–229.

De Vose, B., Vandecasteele, B., Deckers, J., and Muys, B. 2005. Capability of loss-on-ignition as a predictor of total organic carbon in non-calcareous forest soils. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.* **36**: 2899–2921.

Deaville, E. R., and Flinn, P. C. 2000. Near-infrared (NIR) spectroscopy: an alternative approach for the estimation of forage quality and voluntary intake. **In:** *Forage Evaluation in Ruminant Nutrition*, pp. 301–320. Givens, D. I., Owen, E., Axford, R. F. E., and Omed, H. M., Eds., CABI Publishing, UK.

Díaz-Zorita, M. 1999. Soil organic carbon recovery by the Walkley-Black method in a typic Hapludoll. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.* **30**: 739–745.

Donkin, M. J. 1991. Loss-on-ignition as an estimator of soil organic carbon in A-horizonforestry soils. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.* **22**: 233–241.

Ebinger, M. H., Cremers, D. A., Meyer, C. M., and Harris, R. D. 2006. Laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy and applications for soil carbon measurement.. **In:** *Carbon Sequestration in Soils of Latin America.* pp. 407–421. Lal, R., C. C. Cerri, M. Bernoux, J. Etchveers, E. Cerri., Eds., Food Products Press, Binghamton, NY.

Eswaran, H., Van den Berg, E., Reich, P., and Kimble, J. M. 1995. Global soil carbon resources. **In:** *Soils and Global Change.* pp. 27–44. Lal, R., Kimble, J., Levine, E. and Stewart, B. A., Eds., Lewis Publishers, CRC Press, Inc., Boca Raton, FL.

Freibaur, A., Rounsevell, M. D. A., Smith, P., and Verhagen, J. 2004. Carbon sequestration in the agricultural soils of Europe. *Geoderma* **122**: 1–23.

Gehl, R. J., and Rice, C. W. 2007. Emerging technologies for in situ measurement of soil carbon. *Clim. Change* **80**: 43–54.

Grewal, K. S., Buchan, G. D., and Sherlock, R. R. 1991. A comparison of three methods of organic carbon determinations in some New Zealand soils. *J. Soil Sci.* **42**: 251–257.

Griffis, C. L. 1985. Electronic sensing of soil organic matter. *Trans. ASAE* **28**: 703–705.

Hammes, K., Hammes, K., Schmidt, M. W. I., Smernik, R. J., Currie, L. A., Ball, W. P., Nguyen, T. H., Louchouarn, P., Houel, S., Gustafsson, O., Elmquist, M., Cornelissen, G., Skjemstad, J. O., Masiello, C. A., Song, J., Peng, P., Mitra, S., Dunn, J.C., Hatcher, P. G., Hockaday, W. C., Smith, D. M., Hartkopf-Fröder, C., Böhmer, A., Lüer, B., Huebert, B. J., Amelung, W., Brodowski, S., Huang, L., Zhang, W., Gschwend, P. M., Flores-Cervantes, D. X., Largeau, C., Rouzaud, J.-N., Rumpel, C., Guggenberger, G., Kaiser, K., Rodionov, A.,

Gonzalez-Vila, F. J., Gonzalez-Perez, J. A., de la Rosa, J. M., Manning, D. A. C., Lo'pez-Cape'l, E., Ding, L. 2007. Comparison of quantification methods to measure fire-derived (black/elemental) carbon in soils and sediments using reference materials from soil, water, sediment and the atmosphere. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* **21**: GB3016.

Heans, D. L. 1984. Determination of total organic-C in soils by an improved chromic acid digestion and spectrophotometric procedure. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.* **15**: 1191–1213.

Hedges, J. I., et al. 2000. The molecularly-uncharacterized component of non-living organic matter in natural environments. *Org. Geochem.* **37**: 501–510.

Heiri, O., Lotter, A. F., and Lemcke, G. Loss on ignition as a method for estimating organic and carbonate content in sediments and comparability of results. *J. Paleolimnology* **25**: 101–110.

Henderson, T. L., Baumgardner, M. F., Frazeier, D. P. Stott, D. E., and Coster, D. C. 1992. High dimensional reflectance analysis of soil organic matter. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* **56**: 865–872.

Howard, P. J. A., and Howard, D. M. 1990. Use of organic carbon and loss-on-ignition to estimate soil organic matter in different soil types and horizons. *Biol. Fertil. Soils* **9**: 306–310.

Jackson, M. L. 1958. *Soil Chemical Analysis*. Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, NJ.

Jimnez, R. R., and Ladha, J. K., 1993. Automated elemental analysis: a rapid and reliable but expensive measurement of total carbon and nitrogen in plant and soil samples. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.* **24**: 1897–1924.

Jobbagy, E. G., and Jackson, R. B. The vertical distribution of soil organic carbon and its relation to climate and vegetation. *Ecol. Appl.* **10**(2): 423–436.

Kamara, A., Rhoades, E. R., and Sawyer, P. A. 2007. Dry combustion carbon, Walkley-Black carbon, and loss on ignition for aggregate size fractions on toposequence. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.* **38**: 2005–2012.

Konen, M. E., Jacobs, P. M., Burras, C. L., Talaga, B. J., and Mason, J. A. 2002. Equations for predicting soil organic carbon using loss-on-ignition for north central U.S. soils. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* **66**: 1878–1881.

Lal, R. 2006. Bulk density measurements for assessment of soil carbon pools. **In:** *Carbon Sequestration in Soils of Latin America,* pp. 491–516. Lal, R., Cerri, C. C., Bernoux, M., Etchveers, J., and Cerri. E., Eds., Food Products Press, Binghamton, NY.

Lide, D. R. (ed.). 1993. *Handbook of Chemistry and Physics*. CRC Press, Ann Arbor, MI.

Lowther, J. R., Smethurst, P. J., Carlyle, J. C., and Nambiar, E. K. S. 1990. Methods for determining organic carbon in podzolic sands. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.* **21**: 457–470.

Madari, B. E., Reeves III, J. B., Coelho, M. R., Machado, P. L. O. A., and De-Polli, H. 2005. Mid- and near-infrared spectroscopic determination of carbon in diverse set of soils from the Brazilian national Soil Collection. *Spect. Lett.* **38**: 721–740.

Martin, M. Z., Wullschleger, S. D., Garten Jr., C. T., and Palumbo, A. V. 2003. Laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy for the environmental determination of total carbon and nitrogen in soils. *Applied Optics* **42**(12): 2072–2077.

Masiello, C. A. 2004. New directions in black carbon organic geochemistry. *Mar. Chem.* **92**: 201–213.

McCarty, G. W., Reeves III, J. B., Reeves, V. B., Follet, R. F., and Kimble, J. M. 2002. Mid-infrared and near-infrared diffuse reflectance spectroscopy for soil carbon measurement. *Soil Sci. Am. J.* **66**: 640–646.

Meibus, L. J. 1960. A rapid method for the determination of organic carbon in soil. *Anal. Chim. Acta.* **22**: 120–121.

Merry, C. J., and Levine, E. R. 1995. Methods to assess soil carbon using remote sensing techniquesIn: *Advances in Soil Science: Soils and Global Change,* pp. 265–274. Lal, R., Kimble, J., Levine, E., and Stewart, B. A., Eds., Lewis Publishers, CRC Press, Inc., Boca Raton, Fl.

Mikhailova, E. A., Noble, R. R. P., and Post, C. J. 2003. Comparison of soil organic carbon recovery by Walkley-Black and dry combustion methods in the Russian Chernozem. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.* **34**: 1853–1860.

Mitchell, J. 1932. The origin, nature, and importance of soil organic constituents having base exchange properties. *J. Am. Soc. Agron.* **24**: 256–275.

Murray, I. 1993. Forage analysis by near-infrared spectroscopy. **In:** *Sward Herbage Measurement Handbook.* pp. 285–312. Davies, A., Baker, R. D. Grant, S. A., and Laidlaw, A. S. Eds., British Grassland Society, Reading, U.K.

Nelson, D. W., and Sommers, L. E. 1982. Total carbon, organic carbon, and organic matter. **In:** *Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 2. Agron. Monogr. 9.*, pp. 539–579. Page, A. L. et al., Eds., ASA and SSSA, Madison, WI.

Nelson, D. W., and Sommers, L. E. 1996. Total carbon, organic carbon, and organic matter. **In:** *Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 2. Agron. Monogr. 9.* pp. 961–1010. Sparks, D. L. et al. Eds., ASA and SSSA, Madison, WI.

Pérez, D. V., Alcantara, S., Arruda, R. J., and Menegheli, N. A. 2001. Comparing two methods for soil carbon and nitrogen determination using selected Brazilian soils. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.* **32**: 295–309.

Pitts, M. J., Hummel, J. W., and Butler, B. J. 1983. Sensors utilizing light reflection to measure soil organic matter. Pap. 83–1011. *Am. Soc. Agric. Eng.*, St. Joseph, MI.

Rather, J. B. 1917. An accurate loss on ignition method for determination of organic matter in soils. Arkansas Agric. Exp. Stn. Bull 140.

Reeves III, J. B. 2000. Use of near-infrared reflectance spectroscopy. **In:** *Farm Animal Metabolism and Nutrition*, pp. 184–209. D'Mello, J. P. F. Ed., CABI Publishing, UK.

Rogers, R. E., and W. R. Rogers. 1848. New method of determining the carbon in native and artificial graphite, etc. *Am. J. Sci.* **2**: 352.

Rumpel, C., Balesdent, J., Grootes, P., Weber, E., and Kogel-Knabner, I. 2003. Quantification of lignite- and vegetation-derived soil carbon using C-14 activity measurements in forested chronosequence. *Geoderma* **112**: 155–166.

Rumpel, C., Janik, L. J., Skjemstad, J. O., and Kogel-Knabner, I. 2001. Quantification of carbon derived from lignite in soils using mid-infrared spectroscopy and partial least squares. *Org. Geochem.* **32**: 831–839.

Russell, C. A. 2003. Sample preparation and prediction of soil organic matter properties by near infra-red reflectance spectroscopy. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.* **34**(11 & 12): 1557–1572.

Santi, C., Certini, G., and D'Acqui, L. P. 2006. Direct determination of organic carbon by dry combustion in soils with carbonates. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.* **37**: 155–162.

Schnitzer, M. 1991. Soil organic matter: The next 75 years. *Soil Sci.* **151**: 41–58.

Schollenberger, C. J. 1927. A rapid approximate method for determining soil organic matter. *Soil Sci.* **24**: 65–68.

Schulte, E. E., and Hopkins, B. G., 1996. Estimation of soil organic matter by weightloss-on-ignition. **In:** *Soil Organic Matter: Analysis and Interpretation. SSSA Special Publication no. 46,* pp. 21–31. Magdoff, F. R. Ed., Soil Science Society of America, Inc. Madison, WI.

Schulte, E. E., Kauffmann, C., and Peter, J. B. 1991. The influence of sample size and heating time on soil weight loss-on-ignition. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.* **22**: 159–168.

Sherrod, L. A., Dunn, G., Peterson, G. A., and Kolberg, R. L. 2002. Inorganic carbon analysis by modified pressure-calcimeter method. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* **66**: 299–305.

Shonk, J. L., Gaultney, L. D., Schulze, D. G., and Van Scoyoc, G. E. 1991. Spectroscopic sensing of soil organic matter content. *Trans. ASAE* **34**: 1978–1984.

Skjemstad, J. O., and Taylor, J. A. 1999. Does the Walkley-Black method determine soil charcoal? *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.* **30**: 2299–2310.

Smith, D. L., Worner, C. R., and Hummel, J. W. 1987. Soil spectral reflectance relationship to organic matter content. Pap. 87–1608. *Am. Soc. Agric. Eng.*, St. Joseph, MI.

Smith, K. A., and Tabatabai, M. A., 2004. Automated instruments for the determination of total carbon, nitrogen, sulfur, and oxygen. **In:** *Soil and Environmental Analysis: Modern Instrumental Techniques.* pp. 235–282. Smith, K. A., and Cresser, M. S., Eds., Marcel Dekker, NY.

Soon, Y. K. and Abboud, S. 1991. A comparison of some methods for soil organic carbon determination. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.* **22**: 943–954.

Spain, A. V., Probert, M. E., Isbell, R. F., and John, R. D. 1982. Loss-on-ignition and the carbon contents of Australian soils. *Aust. J. Soil Res.* **20**: 147–152.

Sudduth, K. A., and Hummel, J. W. 1988. *Optimal signal processing for soil organic matter determination*. Pap. 88–7004. *Am. Soc. Agric. Eng.*, St. Joseph, MI.

Tabatabai, M. A. 1996. Soil organic matter testing: an overview. **In:** *Soil Organic Matter: Analysis and Interpretation. SSSA Special Publication no. 46.* pp. 1–9. Magdoff, F. R. Ed., Soil Science Society of America, Inc. Madison, WI.

Tabatabai, M. A., and Bremner, J. M. 1970. Use of the Leco automatic 70-second carbon analyzer for total carbon analysis in soils. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Proc.* **34**: 608–610.

Tabatabai, M. A., and Bremner, J. M. 1991. Automated instruments for determination of total carbon, nitrogen, and sulfur in soils by combustion techniques. In: *Soil Analysis,* pp. 261–286. Smith, K. A. Ed., Marcel Dekker, New York.

Tinsley, J. 1950. Determination of organic carbon in soils by dichromate mixtures. **In:** *Trans. 4th Int. Congr. Soil Sci., Vol. 1.* pp. 161–169. Hoitsemo Brothers, Gronigen, Netherlands.

Tyurin, I. V. 1931. A new modification of the volumetric method of determining soil organic matter by means of chromic acid. *Pochvovedenie*. **26**: 36–47.

Tyurin, I. V. 1935. Comparative study of the methods for the determination of organic carbon in soils and water extracts of soils. *Dokuchaive Soil Inst. Stud, Genesis Geogr. Soils.* **1935**: 139–158.

Ussiri, D. A. N., and Lal, R. 2008. Method for determining coal carbon in the reclaimed minesoils contaminated with coal. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* **72**(1): 231–237.

Walkley, A., and Black, I. A. 1934. An examination of the Degtjareff method for determininfg soil organic matter and a proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method. *Soil Sci.* **37**: 29–38.

Wang, X. J., Smethurst, P. J., and Herbert, A. M. 1996. Relationships between three measures of organic matter or carbon in soils of eucalypt plantations in Tasmania. *Aust. J. Soil Res.* **34**: 545–553.

Warrington, R., and Peake, W. A. 1880. On the determination of carbon in soils. *J. Chem. Soc.* (London) **37**: 617–625.

West, T. O., Brandt, C. C., Wilson, B. S., Hellwinckel, C. M., Tyler, D. D., Marland, G., De La Torre Ugarte, D. G., Larson, J. A., and Nelson, R. G. 2008. Estimating regional changes in soil carbon with high spatial resolution. *Soil Sci Soc. Am. J.* **72**: 285–294.

Wieloploski, L., Hendrey, G., Johnsen, K., Mitra, S., Prior, A., Rogers, H. H., and Torbert, H. A. 2008. Non-destructive system for analyzing carbon in the soil. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* **72**: 1269–1277.

Wielopolski, L., Mitra, S., Hendrey, G., Orion, I., Prior, S., Rogers, H. H., and Torbert, H. A. 2004. Non-destructive soil carbon analyzer (ND-SCA), BNL Report no. 72200–2004.

Wright, A. F., and Bailey, J. S., 2001. Organic carbon, total carbon, and total nitrogen determination in soils of variable calcium carbonate contents using a LECO CN-2000 dry combustion analyzer. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.* **32**: 3243–3258.